DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE

04-20130117.LOF

Letter of Findings Number: 04-20130117 Sales Tax For Tax Years 2009-2011

NOTICE: Under IC §4-22-7-7, this document is required to be published in the Indiana Register and is effective on its date of publication. It shall remain in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of a new document in the Indiana Register. The publication of this document will provide the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific issue.

ISSUE

I. Sales Tax- Calculation of sales tax.

Authority: IC § 6-8.1-5-1; IC § 6-2.5-4-1; 45 IAC 2.2-2-1; 45 IAC 2.2-2-2.

Taxpayer protests the Department's calculation of sales tax due.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Taxpayer is an Indiana business and retail merchant. As the result of an audit, the Indiana Department of Revenue ("Department") determined that Taxpayer had not collected and remitted the proper amount of sales tax for the years 2009, 2010, and 2011. The Department therefore issued proposed assessments for sales tax, penalty, and interest. Taxpayer protests the Department's calculation of sales tax due. An administrative hearing was held and this Letter of Findings results. Further facts will be supplied as necessary.

I. Sales Tax-Calculation of sales tax.

DISCUSSION

Taxpayer protests a portion of the Department's proposed assessments of sales tax for the tax years 2009, 2010, and 2011. Taxpayer protests the Department's calculation of sales tax due for those years. Taxpayer, however, has provided no analysis or documentation to support the claim.

The Department first refers to 45 IAC 2.2-2-1 which states:

An excise tax, known as the state gross retail tax is imposed on retail transactions made in Indiana.

The Department then refers to 45 IAC 2.2-2-2, which states:

The retail merchant, acting as an agent for the state of Indiana, must collect the tax. The tax is bourne by the customer. Consideration is a necessary element of taxable transaction

The Department next refers to IC § 6-2.5-4-1, which states in relevant part:

- (a) A person is a retail merchant making a retail transaction when he engages in selling at retail.
- (b) A person is engaged in selling at retail when, in the ordinary course of his regularly conducted trade or business, he:
 - (1) acquires tangible personal property for the purpose of resale; and
 - (2) transfers that property to another person for consideration.
- (c) For purposes of determining what constitutes selling at retail, it does not matter whether:
 - (1) the property is transferred in the same form as when it was acquired:
 - (2) the property is transferred alone or in conjunction with other property or services; or
 - (3) the property is transferred conditionally or otherwise.

. . ..

The Department also refers to IC § 6-8.1-5-1, which states in its relevant parts:

. . .

- (b) If the department reasonably believes that a person has not reported the proper amount of tax due, the department shall make a proposed assessment of the amount of the unpaid tax on the basis of the best information available to the department.
- (c) If the person has a surety bond guaranteeing payment of the tax for which the proposed assessment is made, the department shall furnish a copy of the proposed assessment to the surety. The notice of proposed assessment is prima facie evidence that the department's claim for the unpaid tax is valid. The burden of proving that the proposed assessment is wrong rests with the person against whom the proposed assessment is made.

. . .

The Department made its assessment based on the best information available, as provided by IC § 6-8.1-5-1(b). Taxpayer is a retail merchant making retail sales as defined by IC § 6-2.5-4-1, but Taxpayer did not keep adequate records available for the Department to review and determine the appropriate sales tax. The burden is on Taxpayer to prove the proposed assessments were wrong, as provided by IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c). Taxpayer has not met this burden.

FINDING

DIN: 20131127-IR-045130514NRA

Taxpayer's protest is denied.

Indiana Register

Posted: 11/27/2013 by Legislative Services Agency An https://example.com/https://example.co